

This Page Is Inserted by IFW Operations
and is not a part of the Official Record

BEST AVAILABLE IMAGES

Defective images within this document are accurate representations of the original documents submitted by the applicant.

Defects in the images may include (but are not limited to):

- BLACK BORDERS
- TEXT CUT OFF AT TOP, BOTTOM OR SIDES
- FADED TEXT
- ILLEGIBLE TEXT
- SKEWED/SLANTED IMAGES
- COLORED PHOTOS
- BLACK OR VERY BLACK AND WHITE DARK PHOTOS
- GRAY SCALE DOCUMENTS

IMAGES ARE BEST AVAILABLE COPY.

As rescanning documents *will not* correct images,
please do not report the images to the
Image Problem Mailbox.

Notice of Allowability	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	09/847,992	GUERTIN ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	William D. Thomson	2123

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address--

All claims being allowable, PROSECUTION ON THE MERITS IS (OR REMAINS) CLOSED in this application. If not included herewith (or previously mailed), a Notice of Allowance (PTOL-85) or other appropriate communication will be mailed in due course. **THIS NOTICE OF ALLOWABILITY IS NOT A GRANT OF PATENT RIGHTS.** This application is subject to withdrawal from issue at the initiative of the Office or upon petition by the applicant. See 37 CFR 1.313 and MPEP 1308.

1. This communication is responsive to 5/03/2001.
2. The allowed claim(s) is/are 1-29.
3. The drawings filed on 5/3/01 are accepted by the Examiner.
4. Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
a) All b) Some* c) None of the:
 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. ____.
 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this national stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

* Certified copies not received: .

Applicant has THREE MONTHS FROM THE "MAILING DATE" of this communication to file a reply complying with the requirements noted below. Failure to timely comply will result in ABANDONMENT of this application.
THIS THREE-MONTH PERIOD IS NOT EXTENDABLE

5. A SUBSTITUTE OATH OR DECLARATION must be submitted. Note the attached EXAMINER'S AMENDMENT or NOTICE OF INFORMAL PATENT APPLICATION (PTO-152) which gives reason(s) why the oath or declaration is deficient.
6. CORRECTED DRAWINGS (as "replacement sheets") must be submitted.
 - (a) including changes required by the Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) attached
1) hereto or 2) to Paper No./Mail Date ____.
 - (b) including changes required by the attached Examiner's Amendment / Comment or in the Office action of
Paper No./Mail Date ____.
- Identifying indicia such as the application number (see 37 CFR 1.84(c)) should be written on the drawings in the front (not the back) of each sheet. Replacement sheet(s) should be labeled as such in the header according to 37 CFR 1.121(d).
7. DEPOSIT OF and/or INFORMATION about the deposit of BIOLOGICAL MATERIAL must be submitted. Note the attached Examiner's comment regarding REQUIREMENT FOR THE DEPOSIT OF BIOLOGICAL MATERIAL.

Attachment(s)

1. Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 5. Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)
2. Notice of Draftperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 6. Interview Summary (PTO-413),
3. Information Disclosure Statements (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08),
Paper No./Mail Date 5/3/01 . 7. Examiner's Amendment/Comment
4. Examiner's Comment Regarding Requirement for Deposit
of Biological Material 8. Examiner's Statement of Reasons for Allowance
9. Other .

CEPR
CE-Tutor
Anthony Gramsci

TE 3 (02)

Part of Paper No./Mail Date 07100

DETAILED ACTION and ALLOWANCE

1. Claims 1-29 have been examined and allowed.

Information Disclosure Statement

2. The information disclosure statement (IDS) submitted on May 03, 2001 has been considered by the examiner.

Drawings

3. Drawings, filed on May 3, 2001, have been reviewed by the examiner and are accepted.

Reasons for Allowance

4. The following is an examiner's statement of reasons for allowance:
5. The prior art of record does not expressly teach or render obvious the claimed invention as recited in the methodology of claim 1 and programmable system of claim 18. Specifically the allowable subject matter resides in the limitations, as recited in the context of claim 1, directed to the method for solid freeform fabrication and forming a three-dimensional object inclusive of determining a general build style, orienting the data in accordance with a Z-axis to establish a complete span of Z-values for the data, identifying the special build type including the alternative parameter, forming the layer of build material according to the alternative parameter from the special build type formed within a range of Z-values and according to the parameters of the general build style for

all other layers formed in the span of Z-values, and exposing the layer of build material based upon the recited methodology including repeating the steps of forming and exposing until the form is completed. Claim 18 recites a system inclusive of a programmable apparatus directed to performing the same operations as recited in claim 1, and also having coating, exposure and controller to construct the form and therefore is also allowed over the prior art. Though the prior art of record teaches rapid prototyping or stereo-lithography using various build styles and parameterizations for constructing a three dimensional object, the prior art does not explicitly teach the exacting steps as recited in the independent claim 1. Nor does the prior art teach a programmable apparatus or system for performing the specific operations as recited in claim 18. "A claim is anticipated only if each and every element as set forth in the claim is found, either expressly or inherently described, in a single prior art reference." Verdegaal Bros. v. Union Oil Co. of California, 814 F.2d 628, 631, 2 USPQ2d 1051, 1053 (Fed. Cir. 1987). "The identical invention must be shown in as complete detail as is contained in the ... claim." Richardson v. Suzuki Motor Co., 868 F.2d 1226, 1236, 9 USPQ2d 1913, 1920 (Fed. Cir. 1989). The elements must be arranged as required by the claim, but this is not an *ipsissimis verbis* test, i.e., identity of terminology is not required. *In re Bond*, 910 F.2d 831, 15 USPQ2d 1566 (Fed. Cir. 1990).

Motivation to combine the teachings of the prior art, including that which would have been known to one with an ordinary level of skill in this art, was not uncovered so as to render the claimed invention obvious.

Dependent claims 2-17, and 19-29 are allowable as they depend on an allowed independent claims.

Conclusion

6. Any comments considered necessary by applicant must be submitted no later than the payment of the issue fee and, to avoid processing delays, should preferably accompany the issue fee. Such submissions should be clearly labeled "Comments on Statement of Reasons for Allowance."

7. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to William D. Thomson whose telephone number is 703-305-0022. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:30-3:30 Tuesday-Friday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Kevin Teska can be reached on 703-305-9704. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only.

For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>.
Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the
Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).



William Thomson
Primary Examiner
A.U. 2123
July 10, 2004